

### Impact Assessment Level 1: Initial screening assessment

<b>Subject of assessment:</b>	Acklam Highway Improvement Programme			
<b>Coverage:</b>	Service-specific			
<b>This is a decision relating to:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Strategy	<input type="checkbox"/> Policy	<input type="checkbox"/> Service	<input type="checkbox"/> Function
	<input type="checkbox"/> Process/procedure	<input type="checkbox"/> Programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Project	<input type="checkbox"/> Review
	<input type="checkbox"/> Organisational change	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (please state)		
<b>It is a:</b>	<b>New approach:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<b>Revision of an existing approach:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>It is driven by:</b>	<b>Legislation:</b>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<b>Local or corporate requirements:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>Description:</b>	<p><a href="#">Key aims, objectives and activities</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The project seeks to consult local residents on a range of highway adaptations in the Acklam Ward. This has the aim of negating the traffic impact of the nearby Acklam Hall development, by implementing a package of highway adaptations and road safety measures.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Statutory drivers (set out exact reference)</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Under the provisions of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, local authorities can implement Traffic Regulation Orders (TROs), designed to regulate, restrict or prohibit the use of a road or any part of the width of a road by vehicular traffic or pedestrians.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Differences from any previous approach</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>To be determined. A range of options are being consulted upon, there is no preferred option, the preferred option(s) will be shaped by stakeholder and then brought back for consideration..</li> <li><a href="#">Key stakeholders and intended beneficiaries (internal and external as appropriate)</a></li> <li>Local residents, Acklam Hall Ltd and local stakeholders who live and work in the area affected.</li> </ul> <p><a href="#">Intended outcomes.</a></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Intended outcomes at this stage are to present a range of potential options for local residents to consider, before determining a final adopted solution.</li> </ul>			
<b>Live date:</b>	Consultation will be undertaken from 06/02/15 until 20/02/15			
<b>Lifespan:</b>	See above			
<b>Date of next review:</b>	n/a			

Screening questions	Response			Evidence	
	No	Yes	Uncertain		
<b>Human Rights</b> Could the decision impact negatively on individual Human Rights as enshrined in UK legislation? *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	None of the absolute or qualified rights will be infringed by these proposals.	
<b>Equality</b> Could the decision result in adverse differential impacts on groups or individuals with characteristics protected in UK equality law? Could the decision impact differently on other commonly disadvantaged groups? *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>The Council has a duty to consider the impact proposed decision on relevant protected characteristics to ensure it has due regard to the public sector equality duty (PSED). The duty means the Council must have due regard when taking decisions to the need to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) eliminate discrimination, harassment, victimisation and any other conduct that is prohibited by or under this Act;</li> <li>(b) advance equality of opportunity between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it;</li> <li>(c) foster good relations between persons who share a relevant protected characteristic and persons who do not share it.</li> </ul> <p>Although no negative impacts are envisaged as a result of the options tabled based on the evidence gathered to date, feedback from the consultation exercise will be screened for any potential conflict with protected characteristics. Should any potential issues be cited, a Level 2 Impact Assessment will be undertaken to take account of any issues raised.</p> <p>Evidence used to inform this assessment includes discussions held to date with stakeholders, and road layout design guidance.</p>	
<b>Community cohesion</b> Could the decision impact negatively on relationships between different groups, communities of interest or neighbourhoods within the town? *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>Due regard has been given to the Council's Public Sector Equality Duty. There are no anticipated adverse impacts in terms of risks of increasing community isolation or an adverse impact on community cohesion. The aim of the project is to improve the current highway / vehicular arrangements for the area.</p> <p>Evidence used to inform this assessment includes discussions held to date with stakeholders, and road layout design guidance.</p>	
<b>Sustainable Community Strategy objectives</b> Could the decision impact negatively on the achievement of the vision for Middlesbrough? Does the decision impact on statutory duties associated with these key objectives? *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>There is no anticipated adverse impact on the Sustainable Community Strategy objectives as a result of this project. The aim of the project is to improve the current highway / vehicular arrangements for the area.</p> <p>Evidence used to inform this assessment includes discussions held to date with stakeholders, and road layout design guidance.</p>	
<b>Organisational management / transformation</b> Could the decision impact negatively on organisational management or the transformation of the Council's services as set out in its transformation programme? *	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Not applicable to this project.	
<b>Assessment completed by:</b>	Sam Gilmore			<b>Head of Service:</b>	Kevin Parkes
<b>Date:</b>	January 2015			<b>Date:</b>	January 2015